

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/638,457	09/638,457 08/14/2000		Eric Boyd	18567-0012	9536
37509	7590	02/13/2004		EXAMINER	
DECHER	ΓLLP			MYHRE, I	AMES W
P.O. BOX	.0004				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PALO ALT	O, CA 9	4303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3622	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
·· Advisory Action	09/638,457	BOYD ET AL.						
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James W Myhre	3622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note i	•							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,24-26,38-59,71 and 72</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>12</u> .	_					
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet		LIM						
		James W Primary e	. Mykirie Raminax					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/638,457

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the reference shows a computer network connecting clients and servers. Since the argued claims do not use the "auction server" to conduct an auction, no patentable weight is given to the name of the server.

Continuation of 10. Other: The new claims, Claims 71 and 72, contain features similar in scope to claims 41 and 56, and would be similarly rejected.